PUBLIC SELECTION FOR AWARDING OF N. 05 FELLOWSHIPS VISITING LASTING 02 MONTHS (320 HOURS) –
Procedure announced by Call rep. 644/2018 prot. 49069 – III/13 of September the 07th 2018 at Department of Management

PRELIMINARY SESSION – MINUTES OF PROCEEDING

The Examination Board, nominated with Director Decree rep. 838/2018 prot. 60320 – III/13 of 05th November 2018, composed of:

- Prof. Chiara Saccon – President;
- Prof. Fabrizio Panozzo – Member (with the function to take the minutes);
- Prof. Giovanni Favero – Member.

is meeting in retreat session on 06th November 2018 at 17:30, using telematics devices of cooperative work to define the evaluation criteria for applicants to the Call for Fellowships Visiting rep. 644/2018 prot. 49069 – III/13 of 07th September 2018.

In particular, prof. Panozzo is on his office at the Department of Management, prof. Saccon and prof. Favero are on their private offices. Prof. Chiara Saccon presides over the session; prof. Fabrizio Panozzo has the function to take the minutes.

The Examination Board, prior to verify the applicants’ documentation, is summoned to determine the evaluation criteria, according to the rules of eligibility as defined in the Call for the awarding of the Fellowships Visiting “Venice Program on Management Rhetoric (MARHE) – Action n. 13: SHIP Fellowship visiting” inside FSE project “BUMO_BEE: Business Models for Benefit Enterprises” cod. 3706-1-1267-2017 – CUP H75H17000520009.

The evaluation of the scientific and professional qualifications will be in conformity with the requirements deemed necessary for carrying out the research activity.

The Examination Board can assign a maximum grade up to 100 points for the evaluation of the scientific and professional qualifications, defined as below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERIA</th>
<th>MAXIMUM POINTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coherence of the research</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific impact of research</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Furthermore, the Examination Board specifies the following way to evaluate titles, CV and documents produced by the applicants with reference to the specific demands of the present selection:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRITERIA</th>
<th>MODE OF EVALUATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coherence of the research</td>
<td>Analytical examination of the content of the research products published during the last three years in the light of the research program’s aims.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Scientific impact of research | Application of the ADIR research evaluation methodology to the publications of the last three years as listed in the CV. |

In accordance to the comparison and evaluation of titles, CV and attached documents, the Examination Board establishes that the selection is positively passed if the candidates reach an equal or higher score of 60 points.

At 18:20 the meeting is over.

The Examination Board is summoned online on 09th November 2018 at 14:00 for the evaluation of the qualifications.

Read, confirmed and signed,

THE EXAMINATION BOARD

-prof. Chiara SACCON (President – see the attached joining declaration)

-prof. Giovanni FAVERO ( Member – see the attached joining declaration)

-prof. Fabrizio PANIZZO (Member – with the function to take the minutes)